1	Diagnostic qPCR Assay to Detect Fusarium brasiliense, a Causal Agent of Soybean Sudden
2	Death Syndrome and Root Rot of Dry Bean
3	
4	Mitchell G. Roth, Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences and Genetics Graduate
5	Program, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, Kjersten A. Oudman,
6	Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI
7	48824, Amanda Griffin, Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State
8	University, East Lansing, MI 48824, Janette L. Jacobs, Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial
9	Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, Hyunkyu Sang, Department of
10	Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, Martin
11	I. Chilvers, Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences and Genetics Graduate Program,
12	Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824
13	
14	Corresponding Author: Martin I. Chilvers, chilvers@msu.edu
15	
16	Roth, M.G., Oudman, K.A., Griffin, A., Jacobs, J.L., Sang, H., and Chilvers, M.I. Diagnostic
17	qPCR Assay to Detect Fusarium brasiliense, a causal agent of soybean Sudden Death Syndrome.
18	Plant Disease. XX:XXX-XXX
19	Abstract
20	Species within clade 2 of the Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC) are significant
21	pathogens of dry bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) and soybean (Glycine max), causing root rot and/or
22	sudden death syndrome (SDS). These species are morphologically difficult to distinguish, and
23	often require molecular tools for proper diagnosis to a species level. Here, a TaqMan probe-

Page 2 of 34

24 based qPCR assay was developed to distinguish F. brasiliense from other closely related species 25 within clade 2 of the FSSC. The assay displays high specificity against close relatives and high sensitivity, with a detection limit of 100 femtograms. This assay was able to detect F. brasiliense 26 27 from purified mycelia, from infected dry bean roots, and from soil samples throughout Michigan. 28 When multiplexed with an existing qPCR assay specific to F. virguliforme, accurate 29 quantification of both F. brasiliense and F. virguliforme was obtained, which can facilitate 30 accurate diagnoses and identify co-infections with a single reaction. The assay is compatible with 31 multiple qPCR thermal cycling platforms, and will be helpful in providing accurate detection of 32 F. brasiliense. Management of root rot and SDS pathogens in clade 2 of the FSSC is challenging and must be done proactively, as no mid-season management strategies currently exist. However, 33 34 accurate detection can facilitate management decisions for subsequent growing seasons to 35 successfully manage these pathogens.

36

37 Introduction

38 The Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC) is composed of three major clades, designated 1, 2, and 3 (Chitrampalam and Nelson 2016; O'Donnell 2000). Some Fusarium 39 40 species within clade 2 are soil-borne plant pathogens that cause root rot of soybean (*Glycine* 41 *max*) and dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) (Aoki et al. 2014; Chitrampalam and Nelson 2016). 42 Macroscopic and microscopic morphological features of the species within clade 2 are very 43 challenging to differentiate, as all produce similar pigments, and conidia lengths and widths overlap (Aoki et al. 2003). Of the species within this clade, six are known to cause sudden death 44 45 syndrome (SDS) on soybean (Aoki et al. 2003, 2005; 2012a; 2012b; Tewoldemedhin et al. 46 2016). In South America, the SDS-causing pathogens include F. virguliforme O'Donnell & T.

47 Aoki, F. brasiliense T. Aoki & O'Donnell, F. tucumaniae, and F crassistipitatum, while in North America SDS is caused by F. virguliforme and the recently identified F. brasiliense (Wang et al. 48 2018b). Fusarium brasiliense and an undescribed Fusarium sp. cause soybean SDS in South 49 50 Africa (Tewoldemedhin et al. 2016). The sixth SDS-causing species, F. azukicola, has only been 51 isolated from adzuki bean (Vigna angularis), but when inoculated on soybeans it caused foliar 52 SDS symptoms (Aoki et al. 2012b). 53 Soybean SDS is an annual threat in both the U.S. and Brazil (Aoki et al. 2003; O'Donnell et al. 2010). These countries dominate soybean production in North and South America, 54 55 respectively, both producing an estimated 120 million metric tons of soybeans in 2017-2018 56 (World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates, 2018). Managing these SDS-causing FSSC 57 clade 2 species is challenging, as few fungicides demonstrate efficacy (Kandel et al. 2018; Sang 58 et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2017), genetic resistance is partial (Chang et al. 2018), and crop rotation 59 practices take up to 3 or 4 years of non-host crops to lower SDS pressure (Leandro et al. 2018).

60 However, some SDS-causing species like *F. tucumaniae* show increased sensitivity to certain

61 chemistries (Sang et al. 2018), so it is important to know the species present in order to

62 recommend proper management.

Monitoring the spread of fungal pathogens is also important to determine if management strategies are effective. Fungal pathogens can be spread through the transfer of infected materials, shared use of contaminated field equipment, and through wind-blown spores. The mechanism of *F. virguliforme* spread remains unknown, though the sexual stage has never been observed and only one mating type has been detected (Hughes et al. 2014), so spread through the discharge of sexual ascospores appears unlikely. A single mating type for *F. brasiliense* was identified in South Africa (Tewoldemedhin et al. 2016), but both mating types have been found

Page 4 of 34

70	in South America (Hughes et al. 2014) and Michigan isolates (Oudman et al, <i>in prep</i>). In
71	addition, F. brasiliense isolates with different mating types were identified in the same field
72	(Oudman et al, <i>in prep</i>). This indicates that conventional fungal sexual reproduction is probable
73	in F. brasiliense, providing an opportunity for the pathogen to produce perithecia and spread
74	through the discharge of sexual ascospores into the air. Only one isolate of F. brasiliense had
75	been identified in the U.S. prior to 2014 from an unknown host in California (Aoki et al. 2005),
76	so it is unlikely that it has spread from California to Michigan without being detected in soybean-
77	growing states in between. Population genetic studies of F. virguliforme suggest that it originated
78	in South America and spread to North America (Wang 2016). It may be possible that F .
79	brasiliense also originated in South America and spread to the U.S. through unknown
80	mechanisms, but a native Michigan population of F. brasiliense cannot be ruled out.
81	As both soybeans and dry beans are hosts for Fusarium root rot pathogens, accurate
82	identification of these species in these fields is critical to prevent significant inoculum increases,
83	severe yield losses, and potential spread to neighboring fields. Accurate identification of F .
84	brasiliense and monitoring its spread throughout the U.S. will allow growers to implement the
85	few successful management strategies available. Additionally, with consumer trends increasing
86	the demand of non-genetically modified foods, many dry bean growers are beginning to rotate
87	non-genetically modified soybeans with dry beans (B. Glass, personal communication),
88	potentially leading to even more significant inoculum increases. Our primary objective was to
89	develop a highly specific and sensitive quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay to
90	detect F. brasiliense. The second objective was to validate the performance of this qPCR assay
91	in detecting F. brasiliense from purified mycelia, infected plant roots, and soil. Third, we
92	examined the compatibility of this assay with a F. virguliforme qPCR assay for multiplexing

93 purposes, which provides fast and efficient diagnosis of closely related species or the presence of94 co-infections.

95

96 Materials and Methods

97 Assay Design

98 The intergenic spacer (IGS) region of the ribosomal RNA gene has been used to

99 distinguish species within the FSSC (Wang et al. 2011), including SDS- and brown root rot-

100 causing fusaria (O'Donnell et al. 2010), and was used as the target locus for this assay as in other

101 qPCR assays (Chilvers et al. 2007; Okubara et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2015). IGS sequences from

species within clade 2 of the FSSC were obtained from National Center for Biotechnology

103 Information (NCBI); F. azukicola GenBank number JQ670149, F. brasiliense GenBank numbers

104 KF706657 and FJ919512, F. cuneirostrum GenBank numbers FJ919511 and FJ919548, F.

105 crassistipitatum GenBank numbers FJ919521 and FJ919554, F. phaseoli GenBank numbers

106 FJ919498, FJ919510, and FJ919500, F. tucumaniae GenBank numbers FJ919507 and FJ919515,

and F. virguliforme GenBank numbers FJ919499 and FJ919557. Sequences were aligned in

108 MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar 2004).

A TaqMan probe using locked nucleic acids was designed to capitalize on the presence of
two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) specific to *F. brasiliense* within eight base pairs of
each other (Fig. 1). Primer and probe sequences are reported in Table 1. Each qPCR reaction was
performed with two technical replicates, and contained 10 μL of 2X TaqMan Universal real-time
PCR master mix (1X final concentration, Applied Biosystems), 250 nM Fb_Prb1, 500 nM Fb_F1
primer, 500 nM Fb_F2 primer, 400 ng BSA, 2 μL DNA template, and molecular grade water up
to a total reaction volume of 20 μL. All assays were performed on the StepOnePlus real time

116 PCR system (v2.3, Applied Biosystems) and were set up in clear MicroAmp 96-well plates

117 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), except for multiplexed assays. Multiplexed reactions

118 were performed with two technical replicates, each containing 10 µL of 2X TaqMan Universal

real-time PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems), 250 nM Fb Prb1, 500 nM Fb F1 primer, 500

120 nM Fb_F2 primer, 100 nM FvPrb3, 500 nM F6-3, 500 nM R6, 400 ng BSA, 2 µL of each DNA

121 template, and molecular grade water up to a total reaction volume of 20 μ L.

122

123 *qPCR Assay Specificity*

124 Fusarium isolates used for testing the assay specificity were either collected from 125 counties throughout Michigan or requested from the USDA ARS Culture Collection (NRRL) 126 (Table 2). Isolates collected from Michigan were obtained from root tissues of infected soybean 127 or dry bean plants. Briefly, plants displaying stunting or foliar SDS symptoms from fields 128 throughout Michigan were uprooted and transported to the lab where soil was thoroughly washed 129 off roots. Root sections displaying severe discoloration and rot were soaked in 5% bleach 130 solution (0.4% NaOCl) for 4 minutes, rinsed thoroughly in sterile deionized water for 4 minutes, 131 blotted dry with a sterile paper towel, and plated on WMS agar (2% agar, 15µg/mL metalaxyl, 132 300µg/mL streptomycin) to select for fungal growth. Plates were incubated for seven days at 133 room temperature and observed under a dissecting microscope (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo 134 Grove, IL, USA) for the formation of sporodochia. Using an insect pin, macroconidia from a 135 sporodochium were transferred and spread on fresh WMS agar and allowed to grow for 24 hours. 136 Finally, a single germinating macroconidium was aseptically transferred onto full strength potato 137 dextrose agar (Neogen, Lansing, MI). Isolates were stored at room temperature on synthetic nutrient agar slants (1 g/L KH2PO4, 1 g/L KNO3, 0.5 g/L MgSO4, 0.5 g/L KCl, 0.2 g/L glucose, 138

139 0.2 g/L sucrose, 2% agar) in scintillation vials (Research Products International, Mount Prospect,
140 IL, USA) for long-term storage.

141 Macroconidia from pure cultures were inoculated into potato dextrose broth and allowed 142 to grow at room temperature for 48 hours with shaking at 100 rpm. DNA was extracted with two 143 similar methods. For testing specificity at a standard 5 ng per reaction, mycelia were filtered 144 from broth with two layers of Miracloth (Millipore Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and rinsed with 145 200 mL of sterile deionized water. The fresh, rinsed mycelia were immediately transferred to a 146 sterile, pre-chilled mortar and ground with a pestle in the presence of liquid nitrogen. After 147 approximately 1 minute of tissue disruption, the ground mycelia were immediately transferred 148 into microcentrifuge tubes containing a DNA extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl, 1 M NaCl, 25 149 mM EDTA, 25 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate, 100 µg RNaseA) and incubated for 30 minutes at 150 room temperature, with gentle inversions every 5 minutes. Proteinase K (200 µg) was added to 151 each sample and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes, with gentle inversions every 5 152 minutes. The samples were chilled on ice for 5 minutes, followed by an addition of 0.2 volumes 153 of ice-cold 5 M potassium acetate, another 5-minute incubation, and then centrifuged at 5000 x g 154 for 12 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes, and an equal volume of 155 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Millipore Sigma) was added, mixed gently by 156 inversion, and centrifuged. The phenol:chloroform step was repeated, followed by an additional 157 step using chloroform only (Millipore Sigma). The DNA was precipitated by adding 0.1 volumes 158 5 M NaCl, 1 volume isopropanol, incubated on ice for 10 minutes, and pelleted at 10,000 x g in a 159 refrigerated centrifuge at 4°C. The pelleted DNA was washed twice with freshly prepared 80% 160 ethanol and resuspended in 10 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0 overnight. DNA quality was determined 161 via 260/280 nm and 260/230 nm readings obtained from a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo-Fisher

Page 8 of 34

162 Scientific), and quantity was determined via the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo-Fisher 163 Scientific). An aliquot of DNA for each strain was diluted to 2.5 ng/ μ L, with 2 μ L used in qPCR 164 assays for specificity testing (Fig. 2). For testing specificity of other isolates at various starting 165 DNA concentrations (Table 2), mycelia were harvested with sterilized wooden stirrers (STR 166 Supplies Inc., British Colombia, Canada) into sterile 1.5 mL tubes. The tube was plugged with a 167 sterile cotton ball, and mycelia were lyophilized for 48 hours. Approximately 20 mg of 168 lyophilized mycelia were ground with 3-5 2 mm glass beads, and subjected to the same 169 extraction protocol as described above. 170

171 *qPCR Assay Sensitivity*

172 Extracted DNA from four F. brasiliense isolates were tested for sensitivity via serial 173 dilutions. Concentrations tested were 10-fold dilutions beginning with 1,000,000 femtograms (1 174 nanogram) of F. brasiliense DNA to 10 femtograms (Fig. 3A). The Ct values for each 175 concentration were averaged across isolates, since rDNA copy number can vary among strains of 176 the same species (Balajee et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2015). The qPCR efficiency was calculated by finding the line of best fit on a plot with the logarithm of the DNA concentrations and their 177 178 respective Ct value (Fig. 3B, Table 3). The limit of detection was determined by samples 179 showing > 95% amplification at the lowest DNA concentration tested (Bustin et al. 2009). 180

181 Assay Validation

182 A field site in Ingham County, MI was planted with a randomized complete block design
183 containing two dry bean cultivars (Zenith and Red Hawk), three treatments (non-inoculated, *F*.
184 *brasiliense* inoculated, and *F. oxysporum* inoculated), with 4 plot replicates per cultivar x

185 treatment combination. Each plot was 6.1 m (20 ft) long with 4 rows of plants with 76 cm (30 186 inch) row spacing. The plots were planted on 8 June 2018 at 172,100 seeds ha⁻¹ for Red Hawk 187 and 193,700 seeds ha⁻¹ for Zenith using a cone planter. Inoculum was produced on autoclaved 188 sorghum grains, as described in Wang et al. 2018a. Inoculum was packaged into envelopes 189 directly with seeds, and the entire contents were poured into the cone planter, sowing the seeds 190 and inoculum in-furrow at an average rate of 7.1 mL of inoculum per foot. Three plants from 191 each plot were sampled on 12 September 2018. The foliar tissues were removed from the roots in 192 the field, and the roots were thoroughly washed clean of soil and allowed to dry at 55°C for 2 193 days. The dried roots from each sample were placed in a 50 mL plastic container (OPS 194 Diagnostics, Lebanon, NJ, USA) with 2, 1 cm diameter steel balls and ground into a powder 195 using a GenoGinder 2010 (SPEX Sample Prep, Metuchen, NJ, USA) at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes. 196 DNA was extracted from these roots using the same phenol:chloroform procedure described 197 above. Total DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). 198 To validate the assay with soil samples, bulk soil was collected from ten soybean fields 199 throughout Michigan during the spring and summer of 2018, using a 3 cm diameter, 15 cm deep 200 soil core. Soil was placed into a 3.8 L (1-gallon) plastic bag, homogenized, subsampled into 2.3 201 kg (5 lb) paper bags, and dried at 55°C for 2 days. Approximately 500 mg of dried soil was used 202 for DNA extraction using a FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Bio, Solon, OH). Two technical 203 DNA extraction replicates were performed, and a third technical replicate was extracted after 204 being spiked with approximately 5000 F. brasiliense conidia. An estimated number of conidia in 205 non-spiked soil samples that showed positive qPCR results was obtained by performing the following calculation: 5000 spores * z = x spores * y, where 5000 is the number of spores spiked 206 207 into each soil sample, z is the Ct of the spiked sample, and y is the Ct of the non-spiked sample.

Non-spiked samples that amplified at a Ct > 31 cannot be reliably quantified because they are below the limit of detection. Therefore, an estimated number of conidia were not calculated for these samples (Table 4).

To test the multiplexing capacity and transferability of this assay on other platforms, serial dilutions of *F. virguliforme* DNA and *F. brasiliense* DNA were amplified in a single reaction containing primers F6-3, R6, and probe FvPrb-3 (Wang et al. 2015) along with primers and probe developed in this study (Table 1). Reactions were set up in LightCycler 480 96-well plates (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and performed on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System v3.1 (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Multiplexed reactions were set up as described above.

218

219 **Results**

220 Assay Design

221 A 149 base pair segment in the IGS was identified containing F. brasiliense specific 222 SNPs, and the primers and probe were designed in this region (Fig. 1). The forward primer 223 encompasses one SNP shared by F. brasiliense, F. cuneirostrum, F. crassistipitatum, and F. 224 virguliforme, but excludes F. phaseoli and F. tucumaniae. The reverse primer is conserved 225 among all species used in the IGS alignment. The probe encompasses two SNPs unique and 226 specific to F. brasiliense, containing two thymines instead of two cytosines. The probe 227 associated with these residues was designed with locked nucleic acid bases to enhance specific 228 binding at these sites (Fig. 1, asterisks). The primers and probe are not predicted to form any 229 significant homo- or heterodimers ($\Delta G > -9$), and performs at an ideal anneal and extension 230 temperature of 60°C.

231

232 *qPCR Assay Specificity*

233 Genomic DNA isolated from purified mycelia was used to show specificity across closely 234 related species within clade 2 of the FSSC (Table 2). The only isolates displaying amplification 235 were F. brasiliense and some members of FSSC clade 3 (Chitrampalam and Nelson 2016) (Fig. 236 2, Table 2). However, when tested with a standard 5 ng of starting DNA, F. brasiliense isolates 237 consistently had Ct values within 1 Ct of each other (13.94 - 14.92, Table 2). In contrast, FSSC 238 clade 3 isolates with positive reactions had higher Ct values. These results indicate that the assay 239 designed here can distinguish F. brasiliense from closely related clade 2 species and other 240 common soil-borne pathogenic fungi (Table 2). However, there may be some cross-reactivity 241 with clade 3 species. With pure cultures, F. brasiliense can readily be distinguished from clade 3 242 species based on growth rate and morphology (Fig. 4). 243 244 *qPCR* Assay Sensitivity

The *F. brasiliense* qPCR assay targeting the IGS region provided high sensitivity, reproducibly amplifying target DNA as low as 10 femtograms of target DNA of multiple isolates (Fig. 3). Although all four isolates tested here amplified at this low concentration, standard error of detection was highest at this concentration. Therefore, the limit of detection was conservatively determined to be 100 fg, or a $Ct \ge 31$ (Table 3) (Bustin et al. 2009). Although target DNA can be amplified at lower concentrations, quantities determined below this cycle threshold may not be reliable.

252

253 Assay Validation

Page 12 of 34

254 To determine the applicability of this assay to field trials, roots from artificially 255 inoculated dry beans were harvested and subjected to DNA extraction and tested with the F. 256 brasiliense qPCR assay developed here. F. brasiliense was detected consistently above the limit 257 of detection in dry bean plots inoculated with F. brasiliense (average Ct = 29.6), but not in non-258 inoculated plots or plots inoculated with F. oxysporum (average Ct = 36.3) (Fig. 5). 259 Soil samples obtained from ten counties throughout Michigan were screened for the 260 presence of F. brasiliense. All soil samples spiked with F. brasiliense conidia were successfully 261 detected with Ct values ranging from 17.29 to 21.97 indicating relatively pure DNA samples 262 lacking PCR inhibitors (Table 4). Additionally, F. brasiliense was detected in non-spiked soil 263 samples from six counties, although only two were confidently above the limit of detection of 100 fg (Table 4). Using a known quantity of spores in the spiked samples, an estimated 2804 and 264 265 3051 spores were present in Saginaw County and Sanilac County, respectively, per 500 mg of 266 soil.

The primers and probes for the *F. brasiliense* assay and a *F. virguliforme* assay (Wang et al. 2015) were used simultaneously in a multiplexed reaction (Table 1). Amplification for each assay was only observed when target DNA was present, indicating no cross-reactivity between the assays (Table 5). Combinations of serial dilutions of *F. brasiliense* and *F. virguliforme* DNA were successfully amplified in pooled reactions, and an accurate quantification of each target was obtained (Table 5).

273

274 Discussion

275 Many of the clade 2 species in the FSSC are economically important pathogens capable
276 of causing root rot and/or soybean SDS, and significant yield losses. They are very similar

277 morphologically with a slow growth rate and are taxonomically distinguished by conidia 278 measurements and number of septa (Aoki et al. 2014). However, since a pure culture is required 279 to obtain conidia, and conidia measurements of these species overlap (Aoki et al. 2003), it can be 280 very difficult to obtain accurate identifications in a timely manner, if at all, using morphology 281 alone. DNA extractions and qPCR assays can be performed in a single day, expediting accurate 282 diagnoses. High quality qPCR assays have high sensitivity, capable of detecting very low 283 quantities of target DNA. Designing an assay around a multi-copy target like the IGS region can 284 increase sensitivity. Previous assays designed around the IGS region have provided sensitivity to 285 as low as 100 femtograms of target DNA (Rojas et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2015). The assay 286 developed here has validated SNPs specific to F. brasiliense compared to other species within the FSSC clade 2 (Fig. 1, Table 2). The assay performs at a high efficiency of 99.6%, and 287 288 consistently detected 10 fg of target DNA when obtained from pure culture, and has a confident 289 limit of detection of 100 fg (Fig. 3, Table 3).

290 Nomenclature within clade 3 of the FSSC is complicated, but recent progress has been 291 made by incorporating multilocus genotyping and formal typification efforts (O'Donnell et al. 292 2010; Schroers et al. 2016). For instance, FSSC clade 3 species in this study represent FSSC 5, 293 the true F. solani, and FSSC 11, an unnamed species. Interestingly, amplification of F. solani 294 (FSSC 5) isolates and FSSC 11 isolates showed variation among isolates, suggesting some IGS 295 sequence diversity within these species. Sanger sequencing of the 149 bp IGS region where this 296 qPCR assay was designed showed that FSSC 11 isolate MI-Mtc-C3FS (Wht) and F. solani 297 isolates F-15-118 and MI-Mtc-C17FS share SNPs with other non-F. brasiliense species, 298 confirming their negative reactions. Sanger sequencing of this region for FSSC 11 isolate MI-299 Mtc-A2FS and F. solani isolate MI-Mtc-B10 IGS showed SNPs shared with F. brasiliense, thus

Page 14 of 34

explaining the positive reactions. Phylogenetic analyses of *F. solani* clade 3 isolates commonly
use other molecular markers such as *TEF1*, ITS rDNA, and *RPB2* (Chitrampalam and Nelson
2016, Wang et al. 2018b), perhaps due to the difficulty of sequencing and assembling the
repetitive IGS region. However, this assay provides specificity to *F. brasiliense* within *F. solani*clade 2, and qPCR positive FSSC clade 3 strains can be distinguished from *F. brasiliense* though
morphology (Fig. 4). However, the identity of all isolates should be confirmed through PCR and
Sanger sequencing of multiple loci (Wang et al. 2018b).

307 When pure cultures and collections are not being obtained or maintained, this assay can 308 still provide an initial screen for F. brasiliense in soil and root samples. The assay is not likely to 309 produce false-positive results by cross-amplification of FSSC clade 3 species because some do 310 not cross react even at high concentrations, and others that do cross-react do so with much lower 311 efficiency, producing significantly higher Ct values (Table 2, Fig. 2). For instance, with five 312 nanograms of purified fungal DNA, cross-reactive FSSC clade 3 species showed higher Ct 313 values by at least 6 cycles (Table 2). Therefore, obtaining a sufficient concentration of FSSC 314 clade 3 DNA from root or soil samples for cross-reactivity is unlikely. In contrast, all F. 315 *brasiliense* isolates from both the U.S. and Brazil were consistently detected with high accuracy 316 and efficiency. Therefore, obtaining a sufficient concentration of F. brasiliense from root or soil 317 samples for successful amplification and detection is possible, as shown in Table 4 and Figure 5. 318 Initial screens with this qPCR assay can help guide when and where to begin isolation attempts 319 for pure cultures, and also help generate an understanding of the global distribution of F. 320 *brasiliense*. For example, the *F. brasiliense* assay gave Ct values < 31 from soil samples from 321 Saginaw and Sanilac, counties, where F. brasiliense has already been successfully isolated from 322 plant roots (Oudman et al, *in prep*). The assay also gave Ct values > 31, but < 36 with DNA from

soil samples from Montcalm and Ingham counties, where *F. brasiliense* has also been
successfully isolated (Oudman et al, *in prep*). Finally, the assay gave Ct values > 31, but < 36,
from Lenawee and St. Joseph soils, where *F. brasiliense* has not yet been isolated. Therefore, the
assay provides evidence that warrants further investigation and isolation attempts for *F*.

327 *brasiliense* from these counties.

328 Soybean and dry bean are valuable crops in Michigan worth a combined \$1.08 billion in 329 2017 (USDA-NASS, 2017). Fusarium root rot and SDS consistently rank in the top 10 most 330 destructive soybean diseases in northern states, including Michigan (Allen et al. 2017). To date, 331 genetic resistance to Fusarium root rot is partial, and only few fungicides are effective against it 332 (Sang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2017). Therefore, accurate detection and integrating successful 333 management practices like using seed treatments (Kandel et al. 2018), crop rotations (Leandro et 334 al. 2018), tillage practices, and planting partially resistant cultivars are key to preventing yield 335 loss. Further understanding of a possible host preference is also needed in order to inform 336 management strategies, as F. brasiliense is more commonly found in areas of dry bean 337 production while F. virguliforme is more commonly found in areas of soybean production in 338 Michigan (Oudman et al, *in prep*). Preliminary experiments examining host preference have 339 shown that both F. brasiliense and F. virguliforme cause disease on both soybean and dry bean, 340 and that disease severity or root colonization is not significantly different from one another in a 341 growth chamber setting (Roth and Chilvers, *unpublished*). Symptomatic roots infected by F. 342 brasiliense and F. virguliforme are indistinguishable from one another, and co-infections may 343 also be possible. Therefore, the ability to multiplex the F. brasiliense and F. virguliforme assays 344 can also save time and effort in accurate diagnoses.

Page 16 of 34

345 Partial genetic resistance will continue to be an important part of integrated management 346 for Fusarium root rot pathogens. Though more research has been done with resistance to 347 *Fusarium* spp. in soybean, resistance is complicated and partial (Chang et al. 2018). Dry beans 348 (Phaseolus vulgaris) originated from Mesoamerica (Bitocchi et al. 2012), but were traded 349 through Central America and experienced a bottle neck while reaching South America. 350 Therefore, gene pools in current bean breeding lines originate from Mesoamerica or the northern 351 Andean region of South America (Bellucci et al. 2014; Miklas et al. 2006; Singh 2001; Singh 352 and Schwartz 2010). Dry bean varieties from Mesoamerican gene pools, like cultivar Zenith, are 353 smaller in size and typically have higher resistance to root rot diseases (Román-Avilés and Kelly 354 2005; Schneider et al. 2001). Another Mesoamerican variety, Zorro, was shown to have more 355 resistance to F. virguliforme than the Andean variety Red Hawk, a large-seeded red kidney bean 356 cultivar (Dry Bean Research Report, 2017). Interestingly, more F. brasiliense was detected in 357 inoculated Zenith roots than Red Hawk roots in this study. However, root colonization and 358 disease severity are not always strongly correlated, particularly among the clade 2 FSSC species 359 (Wang et al. 2018a). A formal study should be conducted to determine the inherent resistance 360 levels of multiple varieties from the two different gene pools to F. brasiliense.

361

362 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Z.A. Noel, M. Breunig, V. Ortiz, and A.G. McCoy for helpful discussions and manuscript revisions. This work was funded in part by the Michigan Soybean Promotion Committee, Michigan Dry Bean Commission, Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, Bayer CropSciences, the Syngenta Agricultural Scholarship, and Project GREEEN.

Page 17 of 34

369 References

370 Allen, T. W., Bradley, C. A., Sisson, A. J., Byamukama, E., Chilvers, M. I., Coker, C. M., 371 Collins, A. A., Damicone, J. P., Dorrance, A. E., Dufault, N. S., Esker, P. D., Faske, T. R., 372 Giesler, L. J., Grybauskas, A. P., Hershman, D. E., Hollier, C. A., Isakeit, T., Jardine, D. J., 373 Kelly, H. M., Kemerait, R. C., Kleczewski, N. M., Koenning, S. R., Kurle, J. E., Malvick, 374 D. K., Markell, S. G., Mehl, H. L., Mueller, D. S., Mueller, J. D., Mulrooney, R. P., Nelson, 375 B. D., Newman, M. A., Osborne, L., Overstreet, C., Padgett, G. B., Phipps, P. M., Price, P. 376 P., Sikora, E. J., Smith, D. L., Spurlock, T. N., Tande, C. A., Tenuta, A. U., Wise, K. A., 377 and Wrather, J. A. 2017. Soybean yield loss estimates due to diseases in the United States and Ontario, Canada, from 2010 to 2014. Plant Heal. Prog. 18:19-27. 378 379 Aoki, T., O'Donnell, K., Homma, Y., and Lattanzi, A. R. 2003. Sudden-death syndrome of 380 soybean is caused by two morphologically and phylogenetically distinct species within the 381 Fusarium solani species complex - F. virguliforme in North America and F. tucumaniae in 382 South America. Mycologia 95:660-684. 383 Aoki, T., O'Donnell, K., and Scandiani, M. M. 2005. Sudden death syndrome of soybean in 384 South America is caused by four species of *Fusarium*: *Fusarium brasiliense* sp. nov., F cuneirosrum sp. nov., F. tucumaniae, and F. virguliforme. Mycoscience 46:162–183. 385 386 Aoki, T., Scandiani, M. M., and O'Donnell, K. 2012a. Phenotypic, molecular phylogenetic, and 387 pathogenetic characterization of Fusarium crassistipitatum sp. nov., a novel soybean sudden death syndrome pathogen from Argentina and Brazil. Mycoscience 53:167–186. 388 389 Aoki, T., Tanaka, F., Suga, H., Hyakumachi, M., Scandiani, M. M., and O'Donnell, K. 2012b. 390 Fusarium azukicola sp. nov., an exotic azuki bean root-rot pathogen in Hokkaido, Japan. 391 Mycologia 104:1068-1084. 392 Aoki, T., O'Donnell, K., and Geiser, D. M. 2014. Systematics of key phytopathogenic Fusarium 393 species: current status and future challenges. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 80:189-201. 394 Balajee, S. A., Borman, A. M., Brandt, M. E., Cano, J., Cuenca-Estrella, M., Dannaoui, E., 395 Guarro, J., Haase, G., Kibbler, C. C., Meyer, W., O'Donnell, K., Petti, C. A., Rodriguez-396 Tudela, J. L., Sutton, D., Velegraki, A., and Wickes, B. L. 2009. Sequence-based 397 identification of Aspergillus, Fusarium, and Mucorales species in the clinical mycology 398 laboratory: Where are we and where should we go from here? J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:877-399 884. 400 Bellucci, E., Bitocchi, E., Rau, D., Rodriguez, M., Biagetti, E., Giardini, A., Attene, G., Nanni, 401 L., and Papa, R. 2014. Genomics of origin, domestication and evolution of Phaseolus 402 vulgaris. In: Tuberosa R., Graner A., Frison E. (eds) Genomics of Plant Genetic Resources. 403 Springer, Dordrecht. p. 483-507. 404 Bitocchi, E., Nanni, L., Bellucci, E., Rossi, M., Giardini, A., Zeuli, P. S., Logozzo, G., 405 Stougaard, J., McClean, P., Attene, G., and Papa, R. 2012. Mesoamerican origin of the 406 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is revealed by sequence data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 407 109:E788-E796. 408 Bustin, S. A., Benes, V., Garson, J. A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R., Nolan, T., Pfaffl, M. W., Shipley, G. L., Vandesompele, J., and Wittwer, C. T. 2009. The 409 410 MIQE guidelines: Minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clin. Chem. 55:611-622. 411 412 Chang, H. X., Roth, M. G., Wang, D., Cianzio, S. R., Lightfoot, D. A., Hartman, G. L., and Chilvers, M. I. 2018. Integration of sudden death syndrome resistance loci in the soybean 413

414 genome. Theor. Appl. Genet. 131:757-773. 415 Chilvers, M. I., du Toit, L. J., Akamatsu, H., and Peever, T. L. 2007. A real-time, quantitative 416 PCR seed assay for *Botrytis* spp. that cause neck rot of onion. Plant Dis. 91:599-608. 417 Chitrampalam, P., and Nelson, B. 2016. Multilocus phylogeny reveals an association of 418 agriculturally important *Fusarium solani* species complex (FSSC) 11, and clinically 419 important FSSC 5 and FSSC 3 + 4 with soybean roots in the north central United States. 420 Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Int. J. Gen. Mol. Microbiol. 109:335-347. 421 Coleman, J. J. 2016. The Fusarium solani species complex: Ubiquitous pathogens of agricultural 422 importance. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17:146-158. 423 Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high 424 throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792–1797. Hughes, T. J., O'Donnell, K., Sink, S., Rooney, A. P., Scandiani, M. M., Luque, A., 425 426 Bhattacharyya, M. K., and Huang, X. 2014. Genetic architecture and evolution of the 427 mating type locus in fusaria that cause soybean sudden death syndrome and bean root rot. Mycologia 106:686-697. 428 429 Kandel, Y. R., Mueller, D. S., Legleiter, T., Johnson, W. G., Young, B. G., and Wise, K. A. 430 2018. Impact of fluopyram fungicide and preemergence herbicides on soybean injury, 431 population, sudden death syndrome, and yield. Crop Prot. 106:103-109. 432 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis 433 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1870–1874. Leandro, L. F. S., Eggenberger, S., Chen, C., Williams, J., Beattie, G. A., and Liebman, M. 2018. 434 435 Cropping system diversification reduces severity and incidence of soybean sudden death syndrome caused by Fusarium virguliforme. Plant Dis. 102:1748–1758. 436 437 Michigan Dry Edible Bean Production Research Advisory Board, 2017. Dry bean research 438 report. Available at: https://www.canr.msu.edu/saginawvalley/uploads/files/ 439 2017%20Drv%20Bean%20Report%20printer%20pdf%20(003).pdf. 440 Miklas, P., Kelly, J., Beebe, S., and Blair, M. 2006. Common bean breeding for resistance 441 against biotic and abiotic stresses: From classical to MAS breeding. Euphytica. 147:105-442 131. 443 O'Donnell, K. 2000. Molecular phylogeny of the Nectria haematococca-Fusarium solani species complex. Mycologia 92:919. 444 445 O'Donnell, K., Sink, S., Scandiani, M. M., Luque, A., Colletto, A., Biasoli, M., Lenzi, L., Salas, 446 G., González, V., Ploper, L. D., Formento, N., Pioli, R. N., Takayuki, A., Yang, X. B., and 447 Sarver, B. A. J. 2010. Soybean sudden death syndrome species diversity within North and 448 South America revealed by multilocus genotyping. Phytopathology 100:58–71. 449 Okubara, P. A., Harrison, L. A., Gatch, E. W., Vandemark, G., Schroeder, K. L., and du Toit, L. 450 J. 2013. Development and evaluation of a TaqMan real-time PCR assay for Fusarium 451 oxysporum f. sp. spinaciae. Plant Dis. 97:927-937. 452 Rojas, J. A., Miles, T. D., Coffey, M. D., Martin, F. N., and Chilvers, M. I. 2017. Development and application of qPCR and RPA genus- and species-specific detection of Phytophthora 453 454 sojae and P. sansomeana root rot pathogens of soybean. Plant Dis. 101:1171–1181. 455 Román-Avilés, B., and Kelly, J. D. 2005. Identification of quantitative trait loci conditioning 456 resistance to Fusarium root rot in common bean. Crop Sci. 45:1881–1890. 457 Sang, H., Witte, A., Jacobs, J. L., Chang, H.-X., Wang, J., Roth, M. G., and Chilvers, M. I. 2018. 458 Fluopyram sensitivity and functional characterization of SdhB in the Fusarium solani 459 species complex causing soybean sudden death syndrome. Front. Microbiol. 9:1–12.

461 root rot in bean. Crop Sci. 41:194-198. 462 Schroers, H-J., Samuels, G. J., Zhang, N., Short, D. P. G., Juba, J., and Geiser, D. M. 2016. 463 Epitypification of *Fusisporium (Fusarium) solani* and its assignment to a common phylogenetic species in the Fusarium solani species complex. Mycologia. 108:806-819. 464 465 Singh, S. P. 2001. Broadening the genetic base of common bean cultivars: A review. Crop Sci. 41:1659-1675. 466 467 Singh, S. P., and Schwartz, H. F. 2010. Breeding common bean for resistance to diseases: A 468 review. Crop Sci. 50:2199-2223. 469 Tewoldemedhin, Y. T., Lamprecht, S. C., Vaughan, M. M., Doehring, G., and O'Donnell, K. 470 2016. Soybean SDS in South Africa is caused by *Fusarium brasiliense* and a novel 471 undescribed Fusarium sp. Plant Dis. 101:150-157. 472 USDA-NASS. 2017. State Agriculture Overview. Available at: 473 https://www.nass.usda.gov/Quick Stats/Ag Overview/stateOverview.php?state=MICHIGA 474 N. 475 USDA. 2018. World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates. Available at: 476 https://www.usda.gov/oce/commodity/wasde/latest.pdf. 477 Wang, H., Xiao, M., Kong, F., Chen, S., Dou, H. T., Sorrell, T., Li, R. Y., and Xu, Y. C. 2011. 478 Accurate and practical identification of 20 Fusarium species by seven-locus sequence 479 analysis and reverse line blot hybridization, and an in vitro antifungal susceptibility study. J. 480 Clin. Microbiol. 49:1890-1898.

Schneider, K. A., Grafton, K. F., and Kelly, J. D. 2001. QTL analysis of resistance to Fusarium

- Wang, J., Jacobs, J. L., Byrne, J. M., and Chilvers, M. I. 2015. Improved diagnoses and
 quantification of *Fusarium virguliforme*, causal agent of soybean sudden death syndrome.
 Phytopathology 105:378–387.
- 484 Wang, J. 2016. Molecular diagnostics, epidemiology, and population genetics of the soybean
 485 sudden death syndrome pathogen, *Fusarium virguliforme*. Available at:
 486 https://d.lib.msu.edu/etd/4335
- Wang, J., Bradley, C. A., Stenzel, O., Pedersen, D. K., Reuter-Carlson, U., and Chilvers, M. I.
 2017. Baseline sensitivity of *Fusarium virguliforme* to fluopyram fungicide. Plant Dis.
 101:576–582.
- Wang, J., Jacobs, J. L., Roth, M. G., Chilvers, M. I., Sciences, M., and State, M. 2018a.
 Temporal dynamics of *Fusarium virguliforme* colonization of soybean roots. Plant Dis. 103:19-27.
- Wang, J., Sang, H., Jacobs, J. L., Oudman, K. A., Hanson, L. E., and Chilvers, M. I. 2018b.
 Soybean sudden death syndrome causal agent *Fusarium brasiliense* present in Michigan.
 Plant Dis. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-08-18-1332-RE.
- 496

- 497
- 498

- Table 1. Primers and probes used in this study. Tm = melting temperature, bp = base pairs 499 500 ^a Probes contain 5' fluorophores "5HEX" or "6FAM", and 3' quenchers "3IABkFQ" or 501 "MGBNFO" 502 ^b Calculated with the OligoAnalyzer tool from IDT, using the default "qPCR" parameter settings. 503 504 Table 2. Panel of isolates used to determine specificity of F. brasiliense qPCR assay. + indicates 505 positive amplification, while – indicates no amplification. n.d. = not determined. 506 ^aIsolate IDs with only numbers were obtained from the USDA ARS Culture Collection (NRRL). 507 All other isolates were obtained by J. Jacobs and Dr. Martin Chilvers. 508 ^bFSSC = *Fusarium solani* species complex. FSSC 5 is named *F. solani*, while FSSC 11 is an 509 unnamed species within clade 3. 510 511
 Table 3. Serial dilutions for four F. brasiliense isolates used to determine assay sensitivity.
 512 ^aIsolate 34938 was obtained from the USDA ARS Culture Collection (NRRL). All other isolates 513 were obtained by J. Jacobs and Dr. Martin Chilvers. 514 ^bConcentration in femtograms of DNA per reaction 515 $^{\circ}Ct = cycle$ threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold. 516 517 **Table 4.** Amplification of *F. brasiliense* from soils across Michigan. Values with an asterisk (*) 518 are estimates, calculated as indicated in the materials and methods. Dashes indicate no detection, and n.d. indicates not determined since detection was below the limit of detection. 519 520 $^{a}Ct = cycle$ threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold. 521 ^bQuantity in femtograms ^cEstimated by taking solving for x, using the equation: 5000 spores * z = x spores * y, where 522 5000 is the number of spores spiked into each soil sample, z is the Ct of the spiked sample, and y 523 524 is the Ct of the non-spiked sample. 525 526 Table 5. Amplification of serial dilutions of F. brasiliense and/or F. virguliforme DNA. Assays 527 display specificity towards target species and can be distinguished and quantified in a 528 multiplexed system. Dashes represent no detection. ^aQuantity in femtograms 529 $^{b}Ct = cycle$ threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold for F. 530 531 virgulforme. 532 $^{\circ}$ Ct = cycle threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold for *F*. 533 brasiliense. 534 535 Figure 1. Sequence alignment of 149 base pairs of the intergenic spacer (IGS) of species within 536 clade 2 of the Fusarium solani species complex. Primers (blue) and probe (green) sequences are 537 displayed as arrows at their annealing location within the IGS. Asterisks represent locked nucleic 538 acids designed in the qPCR probe. 539 540 Figure 2. Amplification results of 5 ng DNA of select isolates across different species within the 541 Fusarium solani species complex. Isolates represented are F. azukicola isolates NRRL 54361 and 54362, F. brasiliense isolates F-14-42, F-15-158, F-16-137, NRRL 34938, MI-Mtc-C1, F. 542 543 solani (FSSC 5) isolates MI-Mtc-B10 and F-15-118, F. crassistipitatum isolate NRRL 31949,
- no-template (water) control, *F. cuneirostrum* F-14-52, *F. phaseoli* isolates MI-Mtc-A12 and

- NRRL 22411, *F. tucumaniae* isolates NRRL 43334 and 31777, and *F. virguliforme* isolates DB
 P28 R13 and Vb-2a.
- 547

Figure 3. Detection of serial dilutions of 4 *F. brasiliense* isolates to determine assay sensitivity (A). These isolates consistently amplified at all concentrations tested, with an average of 99.6% efficiency (**B**). Fb = *Fusarium brasiliense*

551

552 Figure 4. Representative isolates of (A) *F. brasiliense* and (B) *Fusarium solani* species complex

- 553 (FSSC) clade 3 isolates plated on potato dextrose agar, 7 days post inoculation. *Fusarium*
- brasiliense isolates represented are (i) MI-Mtc-C1, (ii) MI-Mtc-A13, (iii) F-16-137, and (iv) F-
- 555 15-158. Fusarium solani (FSSC 5) isolates represented are (i) MI-Mtc-C17FS, (ii) MI-Mtc-
- 556 B16FS, and (iii) MI-Mtc-B10, while FSSC 11 isolate MI-Mtc-A2FS is also represented (iv).
- 557

Figure 5. Detection of *F. brasiliense* within root tissues of dry bean cultivar (A) Zenith or (B)

Red Hawk. Values listed over bars indicate the average femtograms of *F. brasiliense* DNA

560 detected within roots of 12 plants per treatment. Non-inoculated and F. oxysporum inoculated

samples were not detected above the limit of detection of 100 fg.



Figure 1. Sequence alignment of 149 base pairs of the intergenic spacer (IGS) of species within clade 2 of the *Fusarium solani* species complex. Primers (blue) and probe (green) sequences are displayed as arrows at their annealing location within the IGS. Asterisks represent locked nucleic acids designed in the qPCR probe.

136x75mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Amplification results of 5 ng DNA of select isolates across different species within the *Fusarium* solani species complex. Isolates represented are *F. azukicola* isolates NRRL 54361 and 54362, *F. brasiliense* isolates F-14-42, F-15-158, F-16-137, NRRL 34938, MI-Mtc-C1, *F. solani* (FSSC 5) isolates MI-Mtc-B10 and F-15-118, *F. crassistipitatum* isolate NRRL 31949, no-template (water) control, *F. cuneirostrum* F-14-52, *F. phaseoli* isolates MI-Mtc-A12 and NRRL 22411, *F. tucumaniae* isolates NRRL 43334 and 31777, and *F. virguliforme* isolates DB P28 R13 and Vb-2a.

151x96mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. Detection of serial dilutions of 4 *F. brasiliense* isolates to determine assay sensitivity (**A**). These isolates consistently amplified at all concentrations tested, with an average of 99.6% efficiency (**B**). Fb = *Fusarium brasiliense*





Figure 4. Representative isolates of (**A**) *F. brasiliense* and (**B**) *Fusarium solani* species complex (FSSC) clade 3 isolates plated on potato dextrose agar, 7 days post inoculation. *Fusarium brasiliense* isolates represented are (i) MI-Mtc-C1, (ii) MI-Mtc-A13, (iii) F-16-137, and (iv) F-15-158. *Fusarium solani* (FSSC 5) isolates represented are (i) MI-Mtc-C17, (ii) MI-Mtc-B16, (iii) MI-Mtc-B10, while FSSC 11 isolate MI-Mtc-A2FS is also represented (iv).

170x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 5. Detection of *F. brasiliense* within root tissues of dry bean cultivar (**A**) Zenith or (**B**) Red Hawk. Values listed over bars indicate the average femtograms of *F. brasiliense* DNA detected within roots of 12 plants per treatment. Non-inoculated and *F. oxysporum* inoculated samples were not detected above the limit of detection of 100 fg.

128x108mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Primer/Probe Name ^a	Sequence (5'-3')	Length (bp)	Tm (°C) ^b	Reference
Fb_F2	AGGTCAGATTTGGTATAGGGTAGGTGAGA	29	67.4	This study
Fb_R2	CGGACCATCCGTCTGGGAATTT	22	66.3	This study
Fb_Prb1	5HEX-TGGGATGCCCT+AATTTTT+ACGG-3IABkFQ	22	64.7	This study
F6-3	GTAAGTGAGATTTAGTCTAGGGTAGGTGAC	30	57.8	Wang et al. 2014
R6	GGGACCACCTACCCTACACCTACT	24	59.6	Wang et al. 2014
FvPrb-3	6FAM-TTTGGTCTAGGGTAGGCCG-MGBNFQ	19	70.0	Wang et al. 2014

Table 1. Primers and probes used in this study. Tm = melting temperature, bp = base pairs ^a Probes contain 5' fluorophores "5HEX" or "6FAM", and 3' quenchers "3IABkFQ" or

^a Probes contain 5' fluorophores "5HEX" or "6FAM", and 3' quenchers "3IABkFQ" or "MGBNFQ"

^b Calculated with the OligoAnalyzer tool from IDT, using the default "qPCR" parameter settings.

Page 29 of Isolate ^a	Species ^b	Host	Location isolated	Assay Result	Ct with 5 ng starting DNA
54361	F. azukicola	Vigna angularis	Obihiro, Hokkaido, Japan	_	n.d.
54362	F. azukicola	V. angularis	Obihiro, Hokkaido, Japan	_	n.d.
34938	F. brasiliense	Unknown	Vila Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	+	14.92
22678	F. brasiliense	Phaseolus vulgaris	California, USA	+	
MI-Mtc-C1	F. brasiliense	Glycine max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	14.21
MI-Mtc-A13	F. brasiliense	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	14.43
F-14-12	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Ingham County, MI, USA	+	
F-14-42	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	14.71
F-14-44	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-33	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-101	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Huron County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-102	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Huron County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-144	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-158	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI, USA	+	14.47
F-15-162	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-174	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Gratiot County, MI, USA	+	
F-15-192	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Michigan, USA	+	
F-16-21	F. brasiliense	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	
F-16-59	F. brasiliense	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	13.94
F-16-118	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-119	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-124	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-125	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-127	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-128	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-131	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-136	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI	+	
F-16-137	F. brasiliense	P. vulgaris	Saginaw County, MI, USA	+	14.52
31949	F. crassistipitatum	G. max	Cristalina, Goias, Brazil	_	n.d.
46170	F. crassistipitatum	G. max	Las Lajitas, Salta, Argentina	_	
46175	F. crassistipitatum	G. max	Las Lajitas, Salta, Argentina	_	

F-14-52	F. cuneirostrum	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	n.d.
Fsp3	F. cuneirostrum	P. vulgaris	Uganda, Africa	_	
F5	F. oxysporum	Solanum tuberosum	Michigan, USA	_	
F-16-16	F. phaseoli	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	
MI-Mtc-A12	F. phaseoli	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	n.d.
22158	F. phaseoli	P. vulgaris	Rockville, MD, USA	_	
22276	F. phaseoli	P. vulgaris	Rockville, MD, USA	_	
MI-Mtc-A4	F. phaseoli	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	
22411	F. phaseoli	P. vulgaris	California, USA	_	n.d.
36549	F. proliferatum	Gladiolus sp.	The Netherlands	_	
MI-Mtc-C3FS (Wht)	FSSC 11	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	
MI-Mtc-A2FS	FSSC 11	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	21.13
F-15-118	F. solani (FSSC 5)	P. vulgaris	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	n.d.
MI-Mtc-C17FS	F. solani (FSSC 5)	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	
MI-Mtc-B16FS	F. solani (FSSC 5)	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	_	
MI-Mtc-B10	F. solani (FSSC 5)	G. max	Montcalm County, MI, USA	+	30.43
31777	F. tucumaniae	G. max	Vila Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	_	n.d.
43334	F. tucumaniae	G. max	Armstrong, Sante Fe, Argentina	_	n.d.
31096	F. tucumaniae	Unknown	Argentina	_	
DB P28 R13	F. virguliforme	P. vulgaris	Van Buren County, MI, USA	_	n.d.
Vb-2a	F. virguliforme	G. max	Van Buren County, MI, USA	_	n.d.
F-14-77	F. virguliforme	G. max	Ingham County, MI, USA	_	
DB P27 R13	F. virguliforme	P. vulgaris	Van Buren County, MI, USA	_	
DB P30 R5	F. virguliforme	P. vulgaris	Van Buren County, MI, USA	_	
22292 (Mont-1)	F. virguliforme	G. max	Illinois, USA	_	
36897	F. virguliforme	G. max	Argentina	_	
54291	F. virguliforme	G. max	Argentina	_	
VB-1	F. virguliforme	G. max	Van Buren County, MI, USA	_	
	Rhizoctonia solani (AG2-2)	G. max		_	
	Rhizoctonia solani (AG4)	G. max		_	
	Phialophora gregata	G. max		-	
	(genotype A)				
	Phialophora gregata	G. max		—	

(genotype B)		
Macrophomina phaseolina	G. max	_
Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	G. max	_

Table 2. Panel of isolates used to determine specificity of *F*. *brasiliense* qPCR assay. + indicates positive amplification, while – indicates no amplification. n.d. = not determined.

^aIsolate IDs with only numbers were obtained from the USDA ARS Culture Collection (NRRL). All other isolates were obtained by J. Jacobs and Dr. Martin Chilvers.

^bFSSC = *Fusarium solani* species complex. FSSC 5 is named *F. solani*, while FSSC 11 is an unnamed species within clade 3.

Isolate ^a	DNA Concentration ^b	Ct ^c	Mean Ct	Standard Error
F-14-42	1000000	17.20	17.22	0.099
F-15-158	1000000	16.82		
F-16-137	1000000	17.37		
NRRL	1000000	17.50		
34938				
F-14-42	100000	20.50	20.49	0.075
F-15-158	100000	20.22		
F-16-137	100000	20.57		
NRRL	100000	20.69		
34938				
F-14-42	10000	24.08	24.08	0.097
F-15-158	10000	23.72		
F-16-137	10000	24.33		
NRRL	10000	24.17		
34938				
F-14-42	1000	27.65	27.66	0.079
F-15-158	1000	27.35		
F-16-137	1000	27.92		
NRRL	1000	27.72		
34938				
F-14-42	100	30.96	31.18	0.139
F-15-158	100	30.86		
F-16-137	100	31.28		
NRRL	100	31.62		
34938				
F-14-42	10	35.26	34.93	0.249
F-15-158	10	33.93		
F-16-137	10	35.36		
NRRL	10	35.16		
34938				

Table 3. Serial dilutions for four *F. brasiliense* isolates used to determine assay sensitivity. ^aIsolate 34938 was obtained from the USDA ARS Culture Collection (NRRL). All other isolates were obtained by J. Jacobs and Dr. Martin Chilvers.

^bConcentration in femtograms of DNA per reaction ^cCt = cycle threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold.

Location in MI	Туре	Ct ^a	Quantity DNA detected ^b	Spores / 500 mg soil ^c
Clinton County	Unknown	_	-	_
-	Spiked	21.26	57083	5000
Hillsdale County	Unknown	_	_	_
-	Spiked	18.10	346132	5000
Lenawee County	Unknown	36.92	7	n.d.
-	Spiked	20.14	108360	5000
Montcalm County	Unknown	32.03	137	n.d.
	Spiked	18.90	226150	5000
Ingham County	Unknown	34.13	39	n.d.
	Spiked	17.29	547582	5000
Saginaw County	Unknown	30.83	246	2804*
	Spiked	18.01	363252	5000
Sanilac County	Unknown	29.51	529	3052*
	Spiked	17.41	512226	5000
St. Joseph County	Unknown	32.95	74	n.d.
	Spiked	19.72	137118	5000
Van Buren County,	Unknown	_	_	_
Field 1	Spiked	19.90	123579	5000
Van Buren County,	Unknown	_	_	_
Field 2	Spiked	21.97	70167	5000

Table 4. Amplification of *F. brasiliense* from soils across Michigan. Values with an asterisk (*) are estimates, calculated as indicated in the materials and methods. Dashes indicate no detection, and n.d. indicates not determined since detection was below the limit of detection.

 $^{a}Ct =$ cycle threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold. $^{b}Quantity$ in femtograms

^cEstimated by taking solving for x, using the equation: 5000 spores * z = x spores * y, where 5000 is the number of spores spiked into each soil sample, z is the Ct of the spiked sample, and y is the Ct of the non-spiked sample.

<i>F. virguliforme</i> DNA added ^a	<i>F. brasiliense</i> DNA added ^a	F. virguliforme Ct ^b	F. brasiliense Ct ^c
1,000,000	0	17.35	_
100,000	0	20.95	_
10,000	0	24.46	_
1,000	0	28.10	_
100	0	31.53	_
0	1,000,000	_	17.57
0	100,000	_	21.02
0	10,000	_	24.17
0	1,000	_	26.60
0	100	_	31.80
1,000,000	1,000,000	17.49	18.86
10,000	1,000,000	24.74	17.26
10,000	100,000	24.52	20.73
100	100,000	33.34	20.87
1,000	10,000	28.02	23.61
100	1,000	31.82	27.00
0	0	_	_

Table 5. Amplification of serial dilutions of *F. brasiliense* and/or *F. virguliforme* DNA. Assays display specificity towards target species and can be distinguished and quantified in a multiplexed system. Dashes represent no detection.

^aQuantity in femtograms

^bCt = cycle threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold for *F*. *virgulforme*.

 $^{\circ}Ct =$ cycle threshold, or cycle at which fluorescent signal crossed the detection threshold for *F*. *brasiliense*.