Iowa Pest Resistance Management Plan Iowa Soybean Conference September, 2017

> Evan Sivesind Department of Entomology Iowa State University



U.S. Herbicide Resistance Action Committee

U.S. Fungicide Resistance Action Committee

Pest Resistance Management

Resistance management:

- Slows development of pest adaptation to chemical, genetic and agronomic practices
- Fosters methods of early, resistance detection
- Mitigates resistance as it arises
- Is an on-going way of doing business
- Requires coordinated partnerships

January 2015 Meeting Outcomes

 Develop a state-wide pest resistance management plan, coordinated by the state, that includes broad participation from all sectors of lowa agriculture.

• Establish a unified, consistent message to increase awareness for action.

January 2015 Meeting Outcomes

- Iowa 'ownership' minimize regulatory intervention.
- Different approaches for pests/locations
- Works for farmers sustainable and cohesive
- Strong leadership and coordination within/across organizations
- Tactical Aspects
 - Include socio-economic dimensions evaluate individual, community and economic incentives
 - Balance simplicity of approaches with effectiveness; consider emerging technology to help reduce complexity/costs
 - Delivery of consistent information/tools

Principles of the IPRMP

- Pest resistance management (PRM) is the effort to slow the evolution of pest adaptation to chemical, genetic, and agronomic control practices.
- Major tenants
 - Voluntary
 - Community based
 - Adaptive management
 - Preserve viability of pest management technologies and farm profitability for the long term

Iowa Pest Resistance Management Plan

- January 2015: State-wide meeting launches Iowa Pest Resistance Management initiative
- June 2015: Iowa agriculture leadership creates multi-organization task force to prepare framework for future plan
- December 2015/March 2016: Iowa agriculture leadership approves plan's conceptual framework and approves plan preparation
- December 2016: Iowa Pest Resistance Management Plan (IPRMP) approved
- January 2017: Plan's public release and pilot project development
- May 2017: Select pilots topics
- Summer 2017: Identify locations and local collaborators
- Fall 2017: increase pilot teams, create local pilot plans: set goals, identify challenges

IPRMP Overview

Main Chapters

- Governance
- State of the Science
- Communication and Outreach
- Pilot Projects



State of the Science

- Insect Resistance
- Weed Resistance
- Disease Resistance
- Economic Considerations
- Sociological Considerations



Rootworm larva

The unique challenge of mobile pests

State of the Science

- Common themes ... details vary with pests, management practices and geographic area
- IPM vital

• Scouting, adaptive management

• There are no 'silver bullets'



- There is common ground to build coordinated/community approaches
- Likely short-term increase of input costs, time and production complexity that helps preserves long-term productivity and profitability

Governance

- Program Manager with day-to-day IPRMP management responsibilities and coordination with external parties
- The Advisory Council will provide guidance during strategic planning for implementation of the IPRMP and will facilitate communication to and from the program manager to their organizations or committees
- Three supporting committees: Science, Outreach and Communication, and Pilot Projects

Communication and Outreach

- Audience includes farmers, ag professionals, government, and the public
- Pest resistance management and maintaining productivity
- Preserving pest management technologies and farm profitability
- Pilot projects to engage partnerships
- <u>www.ProtectlowaCrops.org</u> will serve as a hub to store progress, resources and news in one central location



INTRODUCING THE IOWA PEST RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Introduction the twin rCaT inclusion were deviced in the twin rCaT in the rest of the rCaT in the rCaT

WHY DOES IOWA NEED A PEST RESISTANCE PLAN?

The resistance management (PRM) is the effort to delay pests from developing resistance. Pest resistance has the potential to impact yields, increase the cost of production, and limit farmers' future PRM options. With that in mind, the IPRMP was developed with a broad cross-section of two agricultural partners to address this important issue while remaining flexible enough to incorporate new information.

HAT ARE THE PLAN'S GOALS?

The invest discussed and the second parameters of the issue of peet resistance management with the goal of keeping bichology and toda's -including peetidides for contraining weeds, insects, and divease; teed treatments, and bettechnology products and mains that - available and effective. It is also important that farmers have they are not alone in their offort to address resistance; a wide survey of experts and resources are available to help. The lowa plan will also include wide participation from all sectors of lowa agriculture in order to educate and prevent thread applications that could lead to resistance.

HOW WILL THE PLAN BE IMPLEMENTED?

Iomáis 80.000 farences val Ray a kading nolo in PSM though their streaddho of postolde magenetic technologica and biochronologi tratas mane do los. Farence make management decidencies is necessary to delay ble development of heritaciji, nasceticka, and fungicial resistance, protect cops tratas, and maage exist existencies and and and an existencies and protection of paratecises is necessary to delay ble development of heritaciji, nasceticka, and fungicial resistance, protect cops tratas, and maage existence productivity of los angriculture with erication gestas socialed videl losses.

In addition, reaching agricultural professionals, such Certified Crop Advisers, Independent Crop Consultants, seed and posticide retailers, and agronomic and farm advisors will be critical to the success of the strategy, xaprofessionals who can provide multi-year plans that include PRM tactics will provide additional value to their services.

Finally, pild projects of active FRIM will be established. These projects will be focused on utilizing the latest pear relations management tools and alion axinitie approaches to encourges accessful, volumity FRIM adaption. The pild projects will idently key statesholders within a defend? "community" and will be inclusive, binging all potential players to table. The pild projects will will be tabled in incentive approaches to encourage the community to wark together to address the identified pear-resistant proferoms (i.e., week incerts, and disease).

WHERE CAN I LEARN MORE?

fisit ProtectlowaCrops.org to see the latest news, plan updates, and to connect with experts.

www.ProtectlowaCrops.org



IPRMP Logic Model

- State of the science
- Leverage existing partnerships, networks and roles within lowa communities
- Facilitate recognition of individuals, support leaders and "champions" that emerge

	Inputs	Human Behavior (Activities)	Land Management Industry Practice & Crop Production	Pest Resistant Population Outcomes
Ag Industry	 Education tools Science Pursue funding sources Integrated Pest Management 	 Support meetings, workshops, and influencers Compilation of information 	Improve information for decision-making	 Minimize pest resistances Maintain technologies
Farmers, Farm Managers and Land Owners	 Education and outreach Provide RM practices Socioeconomic analysis 	 Identify local and regional champions 	 Increase numbers of farmers practicing pest resistance management 	 Minimize spread and development of pest resistance Minimize economic impact
General Public	 Central message (safe, healthy, sustainable food supply) 	 Identify agriculture and general-public networks 	Education and outreach	 Safe, healthy, and sustainable food supply

- Identify incentives that support voluntary, long term and implementation of resistance management for insects and weeds in specific parts of the state
- Developed in 3 phases
 - Phase I: determine criteria for project identification done
 - Phase II: Identify set of potential pilots and communities based on criteria - done
 - Phase III: Initiate 2-5 pilot projects

Criteria:

- What are the critical features of the pest pressure, and what are their impacts on farm productivity?
- How is the pest currently managed?
- Is a community-based resistance management system/team already in existence?
- Are there currently any incentives (tangible or intangible) available to encourage community participation?

- Western Corn Rootworm in Northeast and North Central Iowa
 O Bt toxins traits
- Soybean Aphid in Northwest Iowa
 - \circ Pyrethroids
- Palmer amaranth in Harrison County
- Waterhemp in Story County

Western Corn Rootworm in North Central and Northeast Iowa

- Bt toxins traits (Cry3Bb1, mCry3A, eCry3.1Ab and Cry34/35)
- Confirmed resistance/cross-resistance to certain traits
- Risk to resistance increases with
 - o Continuous corn
 - Continuous use of same Bt traits
 - $\circ~$ High CRW populations
- Implement "Best Management Practices" (BMPs) through community-based adoption system
- Two local groups identified and teams are being assembled: one in Wright County and one in Bremer County



Soybean Aphid in Northwest Iowa

- Pyrethroids
- Confirmed resistance in Minnesota; threat to Iowa
 - Monitoring in progress
- Challenge: Limited MOAs effective against soybean aphid
- Two pilot options:
 - Educating farmers about the risk of pyrethroid resistant populations
 - Adopting practices that limit the spread of insecticide resistance in soybean aphids
- First goal is to increase ability to test for resistance quickly
 - \circ $\,$ Research project has been funded by ISA $\,$

Palmer amaranth in Harrison County

- Introduced through by-product from corn processing
- Could include additional weeds: waterhemp, horseweed (marestail), and giant ragweed
- Project objectives
 - Increasing awareness of weed resistance and management
 - Gaining landowner and farmer support
 - Using resistance management as a factor in annual seed and herbicide selections (mindset shift)
- Local team has been identified; local launch at Sept 11 event



Waterhemp in Story County

- Widespread herbicide-resistant weed in Iowa
- Story County
 - Has herbicide-resistant waterhemp
 - Many local, major seed companies nearby
 - Farm management companies and cooperatives
- A local teams is being assembled to represent a broad cross section of stakeholders



Figure 7-3. Adopter Categorization on the Basis of Innovativeness



The innovativeness dimension, as measured by the time at which an individual adopts an innovation or innovations, is continuous. The innovativeness variable is partitioned into five adopter categories by laying off standard deviations (sd) from the average time of adoption (\bar{x}) .

Rogers, 2005. Diffusion of Innovations, 5th ed.

Task Force Contributors

Ed Anderson, Iowa Soybean Association J. Gordon Arbuckle, Jr., Iowa State University, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences (CALS/ISU) Amy Asmus, Agribusiness Association of Iowa Steven Bradbury, CALS/ISU David Ertl. Iowa Corn Growers Association Aaron Gassmann, CALS/ISU Ben Gleason, Iowa Corn Growers Association Jim Gulliford, Soil and Water Conservation Society Robert Hartzler, CALS/ISU Kim Heckert, Iowa Institute for Cooperatives Erin Hodgson, CALS/ISU Ed Kordick, Iowa Farm Bureau Federation John Miranowski, CALS/ISU

Daren Mueller, CALS/ISU Matthew O'Neal, CALS/ISU Micheal Owen, CALS/ISU Gretchen Paluch, Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship, Pesticide Bureau **Clint Pilcher**, Agriculture Biotechnology Stewardship Technical Committee Jacque Pohl, CALS/ISU Larry Pohlman, American Society of Farm Managers and Appraisers, Iowa Chapter Peter Porpiglia, Resistance Action Committees Alison Robertson, CALS/ISU Greg Tylka, ISU/CALS

IPRPM Chapter Teams

Governance

Gretchen Paluch

Carol Balvanz Steve Bradbury David Ertl Bill Holstine Ed Kordick Mike Owen Clint Pilcher Jacque Pohl Peter Porpiglia Evan Sivesind Dave Tierney Stacey Webster

Communications

Dustin Vande Hoef Allison Arp Tom Block Ben Gleason Jody Korthaus Jacque Pohl Dawn Refsell Sharyl Sauer Caydee Savinelli Kristine Schaefer Evan Sivesind Tyler Teske Morgan Troendle

Pilots

John Miranowski

Fd Anderson J. Arbuckle Amy Asmus Larry Buss David Ertl Ron Flannagan Aaron Gassmann **Bob Hartzler Erin Hodgson** Daren Mueller Scott Nelson Matt O'Neal Mike Owen **Clint Pilcher Jacque Pohl** Larry Pohlman Alison Robertson **Evan Sivesind** Jim Steffel Stacey Webster Mike Witt Clarke McGrath

Harrison County Herbicide Resistance Project



September 2018 Dunlap Crop Fair

Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program www.ProtectlowaCrops.org

Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program

- January 2015: State-wide meeting launches Iowa Pest Resistance Management initiative
- June 2015: Iowa agriculture leadership creates multiorganization task force to prepare framework for future plan
- December 2015/March 2016: Iowa agriculture leadership approves plan's conceptual framework and approves plan preparation
- December 2016: Iowa Pest Resistance Management Plan (IPRMP) approved
- January 2017: Plan's public release and pilot project development

Principles

- Pest resistance management is the effort to slow the evolution of pest adaptation to chemical, genetic, and agronomic control practices.
- Major tenants
 - Voluntary
 - Consistent, coordinated efforts across all ag sectors
 - Community based
 - Adaptive management
 - Preserve viability of pest management technologies and farm profitability for the long term

Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program











IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Iowa Soybean Research Center



IRAC





HERBICIDE RESISTANCE

ACTION COMMITTEE

Palmer Amaranth and Herbicide Resistance

Harrison County

- First Palmer infestation in Iowa in 2013
- HR waterhemp, marestail, and giant ragweed
- Team includes farmers, coops, lenders, industry reps, ISU extension
- Survey conducted of current
 management practices
- Two field days highlighting herbicide program comparisons in corn and soybean plots



Harrison County Herbicide Resistance Project

- Larry Buss, Farmer, Iowa Corn Growers Association
- Todd Cohrs, Ag Lender, FCSA Financial Officer
- Greg Christiansen, Ag Lender, Midstates Bank, NA
- Mike Dickinson, Farmer, Harrison County Farm Bureau Vice President
- Matt Handbury, Heartland Coop Agronomist
- Carter Oliver, Harrison County Program Coordinator, ISU Extension
- Jacque Pohl, Iowa State Program Coordinator
- Evan Sivesind, Iowa State IPRMP Program Manager
- Jason Sporrer, Agriland FS Agronomist
- John Swalwell, Asgrow/Dekalb Agronomist
- Pat Warmbier, USDA FSA County Executive Director
- Brent Wiersma, Business Representative, BASF
- Mike Witt, Iowa State Extension Field Agronomist

Herbicide Resistance Screening

- Weed seeds collected from locations in Harrison County
- Weeds grown out in greenhouse at Iowa State
- Plants sprayed with label rates of Roundup, Cobra, or Callisto at 3-4 inches height in Weeds lab spray chamber





Herbicide Resistance Screening Results

			Weed Survival (%)		
Sample	Location	Species	Roundup (9)	Cobra (14)	Callisto (27)
1	NE of Logan	Waterhemp	60	35	
2	NW of Logan	Waterhemp	36	75	
3	SE of Logan	Waterhemp	67	10	
4	SE of Logan	Waterhemp	75	10	
5	S of Modale	Waterhemp	59	100	
6	SW of Modale	Palmer amaranth	60	0	100
7	SW of Modale	Palmer amaranth	20	0	30
8	W of Logan	Giant ragweed	23	0	
	W of Missouri				
9	Valley	Giant ragweed	21		100
	NW of				
10	Mondamin	Giant ragweed	78		

0 = Susceptible 100 = 100% Resistant

Harrison County 2018 Field Trials

- Four cooperator fields
 - Tilled, glyphosate resistant corn
 - No-till, dicamba resistant soybean
 - No-till, dicamba resistant soybean
 - Tilled, glufosinate resistant soybean
- 3 reps, 10 treatments at each location
 o Each plot 10 x 100 feet



Corn Herbicide Demonstration

Treatment	PRE	POST
1	Atrazine + glyphosate	glyphosate
2	Acuron + glyphosate	Atrazine + glyphosate
3	Corvus + glyphosate	Atrazine + glyphosate
4	Resicore + glyphosate	Atrazine + glyphosate
5	Verdict + glyphosate	Atrazine + glyphosate
6	Harness Xtra + glyphosate	Atrazine + Status + glyphosate
7	Acuron + glyphosate	Atrazine + Halex GT
8	Corvus + glyphosate	Atrazine + Laudis + glyphosate
9	Resicore + glyphosate	Atrazine + Realm Q + glyphosate
10	Verdict + glyphosate	Atrazine + Armezon PRO + glyphosate

Glyphosate resistant corn, conventional tillage

Soybean Herbicide Demonstration

No-till, dicamba resistant soybean

Treatment	PRE	POST
1	2,4-d + glyphosate	Clethodim + glyphosate
2	Xtendimax + glyphosate	Xtendimax + clethodim + glyphosate
3	Warrant + glyphosate	Xtendimax + clethodim + glyphosate
4	Sonic + glyphosate	Xtendimax + clethodim + glyphosate
5	Zidua Pro + glyphosate	Xtendimax + clethodim + glyphosate
6	2,4-d + glyphosate	clethodim + glyphosate
7	Xtendimax + glyphosate	Xtendimax + Warrant + clethodim + glyphosate
8	Xtendimax + Warrant + glyphosate	Xtendimax + Warrant + clethodim + glyphosate
9	Xtendimax + Sonic + glyphosate	Xtendimax + Warrant + clethodim + glyphosate
10	Xtendimax + Zidua Pro + glyphosate	Xtendimax + Warrant + clethodim + glyphosate

No-till soybeans Mondamin 6/18/18





Outlook + glyphosate PRE

2,4-D + glyphosate PRE

No-till soybeans Mondamin 7/2/18



Outlook + glyphosate PRE

Engenia + glyphosate + clethodim POST

2,4-D + glyphosate PRE glyphosate + clethodim POST

What does weed resistance look like in the field?

Weed Species potentially resistant or tolerant to two modes of action – an early treatment of glyphosate + 2,4-d followed by a post treatment of glyphosate

Future Plans

- Fall 2018
- 2019



Harrison County Herbicide Resistance Project



Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program www.ProtectlowaCrops.org



Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program

www.ProtectlowaCrops.org

August 2019 WSSA/ESA Science Policy Experience

Background/History

- Weed challenges and management
- Larry Buss



Palmer Amaranth in Harrison County

- First Palmer infestation in Iowa in 2013
- Eradication efforts
- Facilitated by Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship and ISU in 2015
- Launch Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program



Iowa Pest Resistance Management Program



AGRIBUSINESS

ASSOCIATION OF IOWA





ABS

Iowa State University

Iowa Soybean Research Center



IRAC





HERBICIDE RESISTANCE

ACTION COMMITTEE



Harrison County Project Team

- Larry Buss, Farmer, Iowa Corn Growers Association
- Steve Bradbury, Iowa State University
- Todd Cohrs, Ag Lender, FCSA Financial Officer
- David Cooper, Farmer/Harrison Co. Extension Council Chair
- Greg Christiansen, Ag Lender, Midstates Bank, NA
- Mike Dickinson, Farmer, Harrison County Farm Bureau President
- Matt Handbury, Heartland Coop Agronomist
- Carter Oliver, Harrison County Director, ISU Extension
- Jacque Pohl, Iowa State Program Coordinator
- Jason Sporrer, Agriland FS Agronomist
- John Swalwell, Asgrow/Dekalb Agronomist
- Brent Wiersma, Business Representative, BASF
- Mike Witt, Iowa State Extension Field Agronomist

Principles

- Pest resistance management is the effort to slow the evolution of pest adaptation to chemical, genetic, and agronomic control practices.
- Major tenants
 - Voluntary
 - Consistent, coordinated efforts across all ag sectors
 - Community based
 - Adaptive management
 - Preserve viability of pest management technologies and farm profitability for the long term



Harrison County Pest Resistance Management Project

www.ProtectlowaCrops.org



Harrison County Project Activities

- Survey conducted of current management practices
- Weed seed screenings
- Field trials
- Field days
- Outreach

Disclaimer: Always Read and Follow Label Instructions. Roundup is a registered trademark of Bayer Group. Cobra is a registered trademark of Valent USA LLC. Callisto is a registered trademark of Syngenta Crop Protection. Liberty and Outlook are registered trademarks of BASF Corporation. Products were chosen for demonstration only; no endorsement of any product is intended.



Summary of Surveys

- Initial Survey sent to over 225 Postcard Surveys to Harrison County Farmers
 - \circ 14% Response Rate
 - Top 2 weeds of Issue were Waterhemp and Marestail (Not Palmer Amaranth)
 - Over 90% respondents say they Rotate Modes of Action or Rely on Retailers to Make Decisions
- Survey at 2019 Field Day- Kahoot





Herbicide Resistance Screening Results

			Weed Survival (%)		
Sample	Location	Species	Roundup (9)	Cobra (14)	Callisto (27)
1	NE of Logan	Waterhemp	60	35	
2	NW of Logan	Waterhemp	36	75	
3	SE of Logan	Waterhemp	67	10	
4	SE of Logan	Waterhemp	75	10	
5	S of Modale	Waterhemp	59	100	
6	SW of Modale	Palmer amaranth	60	0	100
7	SW of Modale	Palmer amaranth	20	0	30
8	W of Logan	Giant ragweed	23	0	
	W of Missouri				
9	Valley	Giant ragweed	21		100
	NW of				
10	Mondamin	Giant ragweed	78		

0 = Susceptible 100 = 100% Resistant

Weed Populations from plants suspected of resistance by farmer

Field Trials

Outreach

- 8 media articles
- Handouts & brochure
- Speaking events
- 3 field days highlighting herbicide program comparisons in corn and soybean plots
- Video summary
- Website <u>www.protectiowacrops.org</u>



2018 Field Day Video

<u>https://www.ipm.iastate.edu/protectiowacro</u>
 <u>ps</u>

What should we do?

• Opinions of the Group

